

**Perry Probus 261864
EKCC
200 Road to Justice
West Liberty, Ky. 41472**

▶ **Mr. Jurist
8834 E. 34 Rd #131
Cadillac, MI 49601**

Dear Sir/Ma'am,

I hope this letter reaches you in good spirits and well rested after the holidays. I recently came across your ad in the Prison legal news and wanted to find out more and see if I can help. First, let me introduce myself and tell you a little bit about me. My name is Perry Probus and I am housed at Eastern Ky. Correctional Complex in Morgan County Kentucky. I have 6 years in on a 45 yr. sentence. I was wrongfully convicted of a robbery. After I came to prison I studied the law and became a certified legal aid through D.O.C.. I didn't stop there. A few years ago, I bought a 501 (3)(c) Non-profit called Wrongfully Convicted, Set Free. My Non-profit is partnered up with another Louisville Non-profit called "The Prisoners hope". Needless to say, I comb through hundreds of cases and I specialize in Prosecutorial Misconduct and illegal searches. I recently had my sentence vacated at the trial court level and the prosecutor appealed it and the Ky. Court of Appeals reversed that decision and sent it back to the trial court. I have been focused on bringing to light Corrupt prosecutors. I am responsible for shedding light on a few Ky. Prosecutors that has been reported by the media.

Now I'm interested in what you are doing. What do you need from me. I file Complaints with the Ky. Bar association and they always say, no matter what, there is no ethical violation. Recently, I have started sending them to the ABA after I get the response from the Ky. Bar. In all honesty who am I kidding thinking a group of lawyers is actually going to rule against other lawyers. I also send copies of the complaints to the F.B.I Louisville field office for review. The prosecutor in my case committed 28 forms of prosecutorial misconduct in just his closing argument alone. I have enclosed my most recent complaint along with the letter I sent to the County attorney. To me this is one of the biggest forms of Misconduct. Perjured testimony and a Prosecutor who doesn't correct it just to obtain a conviction. Google Commonwealth Attorney Lynn Pryor or Rick Boling. There is no shortage of misconduct in Kentucky. Look over the Complaint I sent you and if you'd like copies of the Ky bar complaints I have those as well. I look forward to hearing back from you. Have a great day.

Respectfully,



Perry Probus 261864

Perry Probus 261864

Oldham County Attorney
100 West Jefferson
Lagrange, Ky 40031
Re: Complaint on Perjured Testimony

County Attorney,

Today I come to you with a letter attached to a criminal complaint in hopes that you will see the reason behind the complaint that I am filing. In 2017 I was wrongfully Convicted based on the word of a dishonest man. I have enclosed a complaint that, if filed, will show others that committing perjury is still against the law. It is up to you at this point to hold Mr. Slinker accountable for his dishonest actions.

I assume you are aware that solemn declarations in open court carry a strong presumption of verity (1). Blackledge v. Allison, 431 U.S. 63, 97 S.Ct. 1621, 521 L.Ed. 2d (1977); Edmonds v. Commonwealth, 189 S.W.3d 558, 569 (Ky. 2006); Centers v. Commonwealth, 799 S.W.2d 51, 54 (Ky. 1990)(Solemn declarations made in open court are presumed to be truthful.).

My complaint against Mr. Soloman Slinker is for violating KRS 523.020 Perjury in the first degree(2) when Slinker, in open court, in 2016, at his plea hearing is on record along with the Commonwealth Attorney, Defense Lawyer, himself, and Judge Conrad all stating for the record that Soloman possessed an Airsoft gun during the robbery in Lagrange Ky on March 26, 2015. At Mr. Slinker's Plea Hearing it is on record that Judge Conrad specifically ask the Commonwealth Attorney if a weapon was involved? At that time the Commonwealth Attorney responded by saying "No, it was not a firearm, it might have been a B.B. gun, but it was an.." Then the defense attorney says "it was an Airsoft gun" at which time Mr. slinker himself states the object was an airsoft gun and the Commonwealth Attorney did not object, confirming it was an Airsoft gun. Throughout the proceeding there was no mention of a firearm, B.B. gun, or any other object except for an Airsoft Gun. During this entire proceeding Judge Conrad is stating the object was an Airsoft gun, eventually accepting Mr. Slinkers plea of guilty and convicting him of Robbery and Burglary in the 2nd degree.

Perjury was committed by Soloman Slinker during the trial of Probus v. Commonwealth in 2017, Case No. 15-CR-00098. Mr. Slinker took the stand to testify against Mr. Probus and while under oath, in open court, Mr. Slinker testified that he committed the Robbery on March 26, 2015 with a B.B. gun. Mr. Slinker stated this several times, without being corrected, which confused the court and higher courts on the object he had. This perjured testimony went uncorrected by the Commonwealth Attorney. In fact,

continued on back ...

the Commonwealth Attorney not only did not correct the perjured testimony (that he was well aware a year in advance was false), he actually condoned and supported it. (This action is currently being addressed in other ways). The Jury, during trial, was also confused as the record also shows during deliberations the only question they had was about the object Mr. Slinker possessed. This perjured testimony was condoned and accepted and desperately needed to wrongfully convict Perry Probus of Robbery and Burglary in the highest degree. If not for this perjured testimony the outcome of Mr. Probus's proceeding would have been different. If Mr. Slinker would have been honest as he was at his plea hearing, Mr. Probus could have only been convicted of Robbery and Burglary 2nd degree.

Thanks to the wonderful work of Mr. Probus's post-conviction Attorney, Soloman's plea hearing was finally introduced into Mr. Probus's record as evidence at Mr. Probus's Evidentiary hearing in February 2022 (Which the Commonwealth Attorney did not object to). Now, all the evidence to support this claim of perjured testimony can be found by looking at the record for Oldham Circuit Court Case No. 15-CR-00098.

I understand this office is in a unique position and can be considered to have a conflict with not wanting to hold Mr. Slinker accountable due to the fact the present County Attorney was the former Commonwealth Attorney that condoned and did not correct this perjured testimony before. I am sending this complaint and letter to exhaust my options before moving forward. Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,

Perry Probus

Perry Probus
President
Wrongfully Convicted, Set Free

(1)-verity-1. Truth; truthfulness; conformity to fact. 2. An important principle or fact that is enduringly true.

(2)- KRS 523.020 Perjury in the first degree

A person is guilty of perjury in the 1st degree when he makes a material false statement, which he does not believe, in any official proceeding under oath required or authorized by law.

"Material false statement" means any false statements, regardless of it's admissibility under the rules of evidence which could have affected the outcome of the proceedings.

Cc: Wrongfully Convicted, Set Free Non-Profit newsletter/web page editor.
The Prisoners Hope Non-Profit newsletter editor.
F.B.I. Louisville field office
Kentucky Commonwealth Attorneys association
Governor's Office, Rocky Adkins
Oldham County Commonwealth Attorney

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY PLAINTIFF

V. DEFENDANT

Name: Soloman J Slinker Suffix

Address (if known):

Louisville Kentucky

The Affiant, Perry J Probus, whose address is:
 (Print or Type Name of Person Making Complaint)

EKCC
200 Road to Justice
West Liberty Kentucky 41472

says that on Nov 1st or 2nd, 2017, in Oldham County, Kentucky, the above-named defendant unlawfully:
 violated KRS 523.020 Perjury in the first degree, a class D felony.

Affiant's grounds of belief as to the commission of this offense are:
 In April 2016 at Mr. Slinker's Plea hearing, while under oath, in open court, Mr. Slinker swore and testified that the object he possessed during a Robbery and Burglary on March 26, 2015 was an Airsoft toy gun. Mr. Slinkers sworn testimony was accepted by the Oldham Circuit Court as being truthful.
 On November 1st or 2nd 2017 at the trial of Perry Probus, Oldham Circuit Case No. 15-CR-00098, Mr. Slinker, after being sworn in, under oath, in open court testifies the object he possessed during the Robbery and Burglary on March 26, 2015 was a B.B. gun. This perjured testimony was not corrected by the Commonwealth Attorney and Mr. Slinker continued to state he had a B.B. gun several times.

Date: December 18, 2023 Signature of Affiant: *Perry Probus*

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Perry Probus this 18th day of December, 2023.

My commission expires: March 21, 2027.

Barry Frisby
Circuit Clerk/Notary

By: *Barry Frisby* D.C.